

Questions: Open call for Inclusive Refugee Responses 2023-2026

Question	Answer
The financial management guidelines say that administrative costs are allowed, “to cover <u>unspecified administrative costs</u> such as rents, office expenses, etc.” Does this mean that <u>traceable/specified administrative costs</u> , which are covered each month and are directly linked to the project as a fair share across different projects implemented in the same location would be considered eligible costs?	If costs are directly related to the project being implemented (and would otherwise not be incurred) they are considered eligible. The overhead (7 %) covers other unspecified administrative cost, which are shared across different projects.
How many grants does MFA intend to sign in total?	The amount of grants will depend on how many applications the MFA /PDJF will receive, including how many applicants will meet the outlined criteria.
The guideline says the proposals should address both windows – but should they be equally addressed and addressing every priority issue?	As highlighted in the information note, both windows should be addressed, but there is no criteria as to which extend the two windows are addressed.
Are the water assessments mentioned in the call expected to have been done pre-proposal or to be part of an inception phase?	The water assessments are expected to be part of an inception phase of the project.
Should all partners to a potential consortium already be working in the geographical location or could a technical partner with no prior presence in Turkana be part of a consortium?	The requirement reads: The Lead Applicant and partners have documented presence, experience and sufficient capacity in the specific geographic context (Turkana County, Kenya) and sectors (livelihood, water and sanitation and climate adaptation). However, should a strongly technical partner have no prior presence in Turkana, it can be considered as a partner in a consortium, but not the lead.
Can non-SPA technical partners (e.g. academia) be part of a consortia?	Yes, non-SPA technical partners can be part of a consortium, but a SPA would have to lead the consortium.
Would market-based sanitation approaches (CLTS+) be in conflict with the stipulated sanitation methodology?	No. If it benefits the program, it will be accepted.
Is there existing planning documentation from KISED P on livestock and crop irrigation that should be factored into the proposal?	To the extend there is existing planning documentation available from KISED P on livestock and crop irrigation it would make sense to factor this into the proposal. KISED P can be accessed here KISED P – UNHCR Kenya
Will it be a requirement to be able to report separately on the two windows, both financially and programmatically, or are we allowed to submit a proposal with integrated outcomes and an integrated budget?	One integrated proposal will suffice, including with integrated outcome and budgets.
Do DANIDA and PDJF/Grundfos require annual project audit or only final project audit?	Yes, Danida requires annual audits. The annual audit to Danida will also be sufficient for Grundfos Foundation.
Is it correct that the contingency is 10% of estimated direct eligible costs?	It is correct that the proposal can include up to 10 % as contingency for unforeseen expenses.

<p>Project context and objective: We assume that there is no change/input needed to this section?</p> <p>Is this section needed in the application form? (i.e. does it count towards the 20 page limit)</p>	<p>If the projects description contains aspects which are not explained in the context description, it would be expected that the background is further explained in a project context description.</p>
<p>Project description: Should the responses for this section be provided in the tabular format? – or can we keep the titles and use full page width in a non-tabular format?</p>	<p>The format is not compulsory. The main thing is that the different sections are answered in the proposal.</p>
<p>Budget: The instructions state that the budget table should show ‘any funds set aside for contingencies (up to 10%), and then under ‘contingencies’, state that ‘the budget may include a budget line for contingencies not exceeding 5% of the estimated direct eligible costs. Please can you clarify?</p> <p>Danida’s current SPA allows and encourages that administrative costs (up to 7%) can be passed down to local partners. Please can you confirm that this is the case for this CFP?</p>	<p>The budget can include an amount for contingencies up to 10 %.</p> <p>We confirm that administrative costs (up to 7 %) can be passed down to local partners.</p>
<p>Annex 2: Application checklist Is there any Annex missing from the document? (there is no Annex 1)</p>	<p>There is (by mistake) no Annex 1 in the document.</p>
<p>Annex 3: How to develop a Theory of Change The guidance provided in the link does not include the referenced matrix</p>	<p>The referenced matrix is included in annex 4.</p>
<p>Annex 4: Are the sample outcome and output provided as examples, or are these to be maintained in the RF developed?</p> <p>Are there a maximum number of outcomes / outputs?</p>	<p>These are samples provided to serve as inspiration for the project specific results framework. There is no maximum number of outcomes/outputs. However, it is suggested to concentrate on the most important outcome for achievement of the overall objectives as opposed to a long list.</p>
<p>Annex 5: Does just the lead applicant need references or do all partners in consortium?</p>	<p>All partners in a consortium need references.</p>
<p>Instructions for applicants ‘For each window, an applicant can only apply once’; we take this to mean that an applicant can (and should) apply for both windows?</p> <p>The CFP states that a local partner assessment is a mandatory annex to the proposal. Is there any set/required format for this, or is the lead applicant / other consortium member’s own assessment templates acceptable?</p>	<p>The applicant should apply for both windows.</p> <p>There is no specific required template for the local partner assessment, but inspiration can be found in the partner assesment tools: Financial Management Guidelines, Annex 1.1 , 1.B: General Guidelines for Financial management (um.dk)</p> <p>Guidelines for Country Strategic Frameworks Programmes and Projects, Standard annexes, Annex 2: Guidelines for Country Strategic Frameworks Programmes and Projects (um.dk)</p>
<p>Evaluation criteria. Will the expertise of affiliated entity(ies) suffice with regards to sizing and design for Solar powered energy</p>	<p>You would need to document that your organisation can solve the task according to the needs.</p>

supply, potentially combined with a second energy source. Grid or Generators?	
Duration and grant size 'Project proposals should address both windows': Please can you confirm that you are anticipating seeing a coherent / cohesive proposal which responds to both windows, with just one ToC and RF (rather than one per window)?	A coherent proposal, which addresses both windows, will be required.